

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

CHAPTER 1. California's Opportunity

1. United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Options Exist to Improve EPA's Ability to Assess Health Risks and Manage its Chemicals Review Program (GAO-05-458) <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d05458.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (June 2005).
2. National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council, Division on Earth and Life Studies, Standards Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Committee on State Practices in Setting Mobile Source Emissions, State and Federal Standards for Mobile-Source Emissions (Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press, 2006).
3. California Energy Commission. Integrated Energy Policy Report (CEC-100-2007-008-CTF) <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2007publications/CEC-100-2007-008/CEC-100-2007-008-CTF.PDF> (accessed January 2008) (2007) 2-7.
4. Next 10. California Green Innovation Index: 2008 Inaugural Issue. <http://www.coecon.com/Reports/GREEN/CAGreenIndex.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Palo Alto, California: Next 10 (2007).
5. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). Environmental Outlook for the Chemicals Industry. <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/7/45/2375538.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (2001) 34-36.

American Chemistry Council, Guide to the Business of Chemistry (Arlington, Virginia: American Chemistry Council, 2003) 78.

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World Population to 2300. <http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/longrange2/WorldPop2300final.pdf> (accessed January 2008) New York: United Nations (2004) 4.

6. National Pollution Prevention and Toxics Advisory Committee (NPPTAC), Broader Issues Work Group. How can EPA more efficiently identify potential risks and facilitate risk reduction decision for non-HPV existing chemicals? (2005) (<http://epa.gov/oppt/npptac/pubs/finaldraftnonhpvpaper051006.pdf>) Table 3, p. 4 shows total pounds of chemical substances produced or imported in 2001, reported under the 2002 TSCA Inventory Update Rule (IUR), as 15,208,921,689,779 pounds, or 41,668,278,602 pounds per day.

U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies, Renewables Vision 2020, Executive Steering Group. The Technology Roadmap for Plant/Crop-based Renewable Resources 2020 (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/biomass/pdfs/ag_vision.pdf) p. 8. Washington, D.C.:U.S. Government Printing Office, 1999.

National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council. Bio-based Industrial Products: Priorities for Research and Commercialization. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 2000. In this report, the NRC evaluated biobased materials in the U.S. and proposed national targets for their adoption. They reported as follows:

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

Biobased product	2000 level	National targets	
		2020 level	2090 level
Liquid fuels	1-2%	10%	50%
Organic chemicals	10%	25%	90%
Materials	90%	95%	99%

- Biomass Research and Development Board. Fostering the Bioeconomic Revolution in Biobased Products and Bioenergy (NREL/BR-510-30185) <http://www.bioproducts-bioenergy.gov> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.:U.S. Government Printing Office (2001).
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. Overview: Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Programs. Overview, Draft Version 2.0. Washington, D.C. (2003) 8-11.
 - Wilson M, Chia D, Ehlers B. California Policy Research Center, University of California Office of the President. Green Chemistry in California: A Framework for Leadership in Chemicals Policy and Innovation. Special Report to the California Senate Environmental Quality Committee and the Assembly Committee on Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials. <http://coeh.berkeley.edu/greenchemistry/> (accessed January 2008) and http://coeh.berkeley.edu/docs/news/06_wilson_policy.pdf (accessed January 2008) 15-23.
 - California Energy Commission. Integrated Energy Policy Report (CEC-100-2007-008-CTF) <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2007publications/CEC-100-2007-008/CEC-100-2007-008-CTF.PDF> (accessed January 2008) California Energy Commission (November 2007) 2-7.

The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation. No Reason to Wait: The Benefits of Greenhouse Gas Reduction in Sao Paulo and California. http://www.hewlett.org/cgi-bin/MsmGo.exe?grab_id=50&page_id=8454656&query=No+reason+to+wait&hiword=No+REASONABLE+REASONED+REASONING+REASONS+WAITED+WAITING+WAIITS+reason+to+wait (accessed January 2008) Hewlett Foundation (December 2006).
 - Leigh P, Wilson M, Schwarzman M. Costs of Toxic Chemical-induced Occupational Diseases Among Adults in California. *In preparation*. (2008).
 - Ibid*
 - Ibid*
 - United States Environmental Protection Agency. TRI Explorer: California. http://www.epa.gov/cgi-bin/broker?view=STCO&trilib=TRIQ1&state=06&SFS=YES&year=2005&_service=oiia&_program=xp_tri.sasmacr.tristart.macros (accessed January 2008) United States Environmental Protection Agency (2005).

Scorecard. Environmental Scorecard for California. http://www.scorecard.org/env-releases/state.tcl?fips_state_code=06#data_summary (accessed January 2008).

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

14. United States General Accounting Office. Report to the Congress. Toxic Chemicals: EPA's Toxic Release Inventory is Useful but can be Improved (GAO/RCED-91-121) <http://archive.gao.gov/d20t9/144255.pdf> (accessed January 2008) (June 1991) 26.
15. Leigh P, Wilson M, Schwarzman M. Costs of Environmentally Attributable Diseases Among Children in California. *In preparation*. (2008).
16. Analysis follows model described in: Pimentel D, Acquay H, Biltonen M, Rice P, Silva M, *et al*. Environmental and economic costs of pesticide use. *BioScience* 1992; 42:750-760. (Data range represents analyses using California percent of national GDP and a detailed indicator-specific calculation. All costs converted to 2004 U.S. dollars).
17. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Breaking the Cycle PBT Program Accomplishments 2001-2002. Washington D.C.: Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (2003).

United States Environmental Protection Agency. Methylmercury: Epidemiology Update, Presentation by Kathryn Mahaffey, PhD at the National Forum on Contaminants in Fish, San Diego, CA (January 25-28, 2004).

Data adjusted for California population of women aged 15-44 based on US census data 2004: <http://www.epa.gov/pbt/pubs/pbtreport2002.htm> (accessed January 2008) and <http://www.dhs.ca.gov/hisp/chs/OHIR/tables/datafiles/vsofca/0115.pdf> (accessed January 2008).
18. California Integrated Waste Management Board. Statewide Waste Characterization Study (publication #340-04-005) <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/default.asp?pubid=1097> (accessed January 2008) California Integrated Waste Management Board (December 2004).

Executive Summary at: <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/LocalAsst/Extracts/34004005/ExecSummary.pdf> (accessed January 2008) 6. (3,809,699 tons=7.6 billion pounds. Range of 6-9 billion pounds with confidence interval calculated at 90% level).
19. Schumacher J, Kaelin T, Sakuma Y. SRI Consulting. Plastics Recycling: Abstract. <http://www.sriconsulting.com/CEH/Public/Reports/300.3000> (accessed January 2008) SRI Consulting (April 2002) (This report uses a 3.6% recycling rate, with a slight decrease by 2005).

United States Environmental Protection Agency. Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 2005 Facts and Figures (publication EPA530-R-06-011) <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/non-hw/muncpl/msw99.htm> (accessed January 2008) United States Environmental Protection Agency (October 2006) 51-52. (This report uses a 5.7% recycling rate for 2005).
20. California Integrated Waste Management Board. Statewide Waste Characterization Study (publication #340-04-005)

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

- <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/default.asp?pubid=1097> (accessed January 2008)
California Integrated Waste Management Board (December 2004).
- Executive Summary at:
<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/LocalAsst/Extracts/34004005/ExecSummary.pdf>
(accessed January 2008) 6. (481,353 tons=963 million pounds. Range of 300 million to 1.6 billion pounds with confidence interval calculated at 90% level).
21. California Integrated Waste Management Board. Statewide Waste Characterization Study (publication #340-04-005)
<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/default.asp?pubid=1097> (accessed January 2008)
California Integrated Waste Management Board (December 2004).
- Executive Summary at:
<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/LocalAsst/Extracts/34004005/ExecSummary.pdf>
(accessed January 2008) 6. (73,599 tons=147 million pounds. No range given in CIWMB data).
22. Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Environmental Protection Agency. Failed Land Disposal Units: A Twenty-year Retrospective. (October 2007) (Chart 1, showing 61 of 85 sites leaking into groundwater).
23. Houlihan J, Kropp T, Wiles R, Gray S, Campbell C. Environmental Working Group. Body Burden: The Pollution in Newborns.
http://archive.ewg.org/reports_content/bodyburden2/pdf/bodyburden2_final-r2.pdf
(accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: Environmental Working Group (July 2005).
24. Innovest Strategic Value Partners. Overview of the Chemical Industry: Overview for Coming Clean. <http://www.precaution.org/lib/07/innovest.pdf> (accessed January 2008)
Innovest Strategic Value Partners (March 2007) 41-49.
- Bremmer M. Innovest Strategic Value Advisors Inc. Innovest Specialty Chemicals Report Released: Novozymes, Givaudan and Ecolab Inc. sector leaders in sustainability performance. <http://biz.yahoo.com/prnews/050727/nyw142.html?v=47&printer=1>
(accessed August 1, 2005) New York: Innovest Strategic Value Advisors Inc. (July 27, 2005) (on *PR Newswire*).
- European Social Investment Forum. Chemicals Sector Report, 2nd in a Series.
http://www.eurosif.org/pub2/lib/2005/10/sr-chemicals/eurosif-sr_chemicals.pdf (accessed October 19, 2005) Paris: Eurosif (October 2005). (The Chemical Sector Steering Committee consists of ABN Amro Asset Management, Credit Agricole Asset Management, Dexia Asset Management, Henderson Global Investors, and UBS Global Asset Management).
- Crystal-Faraday Partnership. Green chemical technology: 2004 Roadmap (report available from info@crystalfaraday.org) London: Peter Varey Associates (2000) 14-15.
- Seyfer J, Johnson S, Chmielewski D, Marshall M, Bazeley M. Ten trends that are likely to set the direction for tech in 2006. *San Jose Mercury News*. December 26, 2005; 3E.

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

25. Dennison R. Environmental Defense. Not that Innocent: A Comparative Analysis of Canadian, European Union and United States Policies on Industrial Chemicals. <http://www.environmentaldefense.org/article.cfm?contentid=6147> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: Environmental Defense (April 2007).
26. Liroff R. Benchmarking corporate management of safer chemicals in consumer products - A tool for investors and senior executives. *International Journal for Sustainable Business* 2005; 12:25–36.

Investors Environmental Health Network. Toxics in your portfolio? More companies facing shareholder resolutions on chemical risks in products. <http://www.iehn.org/> (accessed January 2008) Investors Environmental Health Network (Spring 2007).
27. California Environmental Protection Agency California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. California Environmental Contaminant Biomonitoring Program. <http://www.oehha.org/multimedia/biomon/index.html> (accessed January 2008) California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.
28. California Environmental Protection Agency, California Department of Toxic Substances Control. Green Chemistry Initiative. <http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/PollutionPrevention/GreenChemistryInitiative/index.cfm> (accessed January 2008) California Department of Toxic Substances Control (2007).
29. California Environmental Protection Agency, California Integrated Waste Management Board. Strategic Directives: SD-5. Producer Responsibility. <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/BoardInfo/StrategicPlan/2007/SD05.htm> (accessed January 2008) California Integrated Waste Management Board (December 2007).
30. California Product Stewardship Council. <http://www.caproductstewardship.org/> (accessed January 2008) California Product Stewardship Council.
31. See AB 558 Feuer (D-Los Angeles), SB 578 Simitian (D-Palo Alto), SB 973 Simitian (D-Palo Alto).
32. Californians for a Healthy and Green Economy. http://www.changealifornia.org/About_us.html (accessed January 2008) Californians for a Healthy and Green Economy (2008).
33. California Ocean Protection Council. <http://www.resources.ca.gov/copc/> (accessed January 2008) California Ocean Protection Council (2005).

California Ocean Protection Council. Resolution of the California Ocean Protection Council On Reducing and Preventing Marine Debris. http://www.resources.ca.gov/copc/02-08-07_meeting/Adopted_Marine_Debris_Res_0207.pdf (accessed January 2008) California Ocean Protection Council (February 2007).

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

CHAPTER 2. Green Chemistry

1. Paul Anastas and John Warner, *Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1998).

Stephen DeVito and Roger Garrett. *Designing Safer Chemicals: Green Chemistry for Pollution Prevention* (Washington, D.C.: American Chemical Society, 1998).

James Clark and Duncan MacQuarie, *Handbook of Green Chemistry and Technology* (Oxford, United Kingdom: Blackwell Science, 2002).

Rebecca Lankey and Paul Anastas, *Advancing Sustainability through Green Chemistry and Engineering* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2002).
2. Lempert R, Norling P, Pernin C, Resetar S, Mahnovski S. RAND Corporation. Next Generation Environmental Technologies: Benefits and Barriers. http://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1682 (accessed January 2008) Arlington, VA: RAND Corporation (2003).
3. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control. Failed Land Disposal Units: A Twenty Year Retrospective. (October 2007) Charts 4 & 5.
4. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). Environmental Outlook for the Chemicals Industry. <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/7/45/2375538.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2001) 34-36.

American Chemistry Council, *Guide to the Business of Chemistry* (Arlington, Virginia: American Chemistry Council 2003) 78.
5. Nel A, Xia T, Maedler L, Li N. Toxic Potential of Materials at the Nanolevel. *Science Magazine* 2006; 311:622-627. <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/311/5761/622?ijkey=2eB0nrqZwskKs&keytype=ref&siteid=sci> (accessed January 2008).
6. Denison R. Environmental Defense. Statement to the National Research Council, Committee to Review the National Nanotechnology Initiative. Getting Nanotechnology Right the First Time. http://www.environmentaldefense.org/documents/4446_EnvironmentalDefenseStatementNRCNanopanel25Mar05.pdf (accessed January 2008) Environmental Defense (March 2005).
7. Anastas *et al.* op cit.

References

CHAPTER 3. Policy Gaps

1. See references 17–25, below.
2. Stephenson J. United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Actions are Needed to Improve the Effectiveness of EPA's Chemical Review Program. Testimony before the Committee on Environment and Public Works, U.S. Senate. (GAO-06-1032T) <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d061032t.pdf> (accessed January 2008) United States Government Accountability Office (August 2006).
3. United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Options Exist to Improve EPA's Ability to Assess Health Risks and Manage its Chemicals Review Program (GAO 05-458) <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d05458.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (June 2005).
4. *Ibid.*
5. National Pollution Prevention and Toxics Advisory Committee, Broader Issues Work Group. How can EPA more efficiently identify potential risks and facilitate risk reduction decision for non-HPV existing chemicals? <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/nptac/pubs/finaldraftnonhpvpaper051006.pdf> (accessed January 2008) National Pollution Prevention and Toxics Advisory Committee (October 2005).
6. Enterprise and Industry Directorate General of the European Commission. Consolidated Version of Cosmetics Directive 76/768/EEC. http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/cosmetics/html/consolidated_dir.htm (accessed January 2008) European Commission (November 2007).
7. Environment Directorate General of the European Commission. Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/weee/index_en.htm (accessed January 2008) European Commission (January 2008).

Environment Directorate General of the European Commission. On Waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) - Joint declaration of the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission relating to Article 9 (2002/96/EC) <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32002L0096:EN:HTML> (accessed January 2008) European Commission (January 2003).

8. The RoHS regulation, Directive 2002/95/EC: Major regulation's provisions. <http://www.rohs.eu/english/index.html> (accessed January 2008) RoHS (2007).

Environment Directorate General of the European Commission. On the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment (2002/95/EC) <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32002L0095:EN:HTML> (accessed January 2008) European Commission (January 2003).

References

9. The Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) legislation <http://www.reach-compliance.eu/english/legislation/docs/launchers/launch-2006-1907-EC.html> (accessed January 2008) Grenoble, France: B-Lands Consulting (2008).

United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Comparison of U.S. and Recently Enacted European Union Approaches to Protect against the Risks of Toxic Chemicals <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07825.pdf> (accessed January 2008). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (August 2007). pp. 43-47.
10. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Programs Overview, Draft Version 2.0. Washington, D.C. (December 2003).
11. Dernbach J. The unfocused regulation of toxic and hazardous pollutants. *The Harvard Law Review* 1997; 21:1-57.
12. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. HPV Program. http://www.epa.gov/oppt/ar/20052006/managing/hpv_program.htm (accessed January 2008) United States Environmental Protection Agency (2008).
13. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Chemical Hazard Data Availability Study: What Do We Really Know About the Safety of High Production Volume Chemicals? EPA's 1998 Baseline of Hazard Information that is Readily Available to the Public. <http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/chemtest/hazchem.htm> (accessed May 24, 2005) Washington, D.C. (1998).
14. Jones R, Nicas M. Hazard Evaluation System and Information Service (HESIS), California Department of Health Services, Occupational Health Branch. Chemical Hazard Surveillance: An Evaluation of Data Collection Systems in California, the United States, and Scandinavia (agreement #00-92178) <http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ohb/HESIS/Chem%20Tracking%20UCB%20Report.pdf> (accessed January 2008) California Department of Health Services (May 30, 2003).

Wilson M, Chia D and Ehlers B. University of California, Policy Research Center. Green Chemistry in California: A Framework for Leadership in Chemicals Policy and Innovation. Special Report to the California Senate Environmental Quality Committee and the Assembly Committee on Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials. http://coeh.berkeley.edu/docs/news/06_wilson_policy.pdf (accessed January 2008) Regents of the University of California (March 2006) 56-59.
15. Wilson M. Testimony before the Committee on Environment and Public Works, U.S. Senate. Oversight on the Toxic Substances Control Act and the Chemicals Management Program at EPA. August 2, 2006. http://epw.senate.gov/hearing_statements.cfm?id=260423 (accessed January 2008).

Page 8 reference numbering error

References

13. Cranor C. Some legal implications of the Precautionary Principle: improving information-generation and legal protections. *European Journal of Oncology* 2003, 2:31-51.
 14. Ashford N. Government and Environmental Innovation in Europe and North America. *American Behavioral Scientist* 2002; 45:1417–1434.
 15. Cranor 2003, op cit.
-
16. National Pollution Prevention and Toxics Advisory Committee, Broader Issues Work Group. How can EPA more efficiently identify potential risks and facilitate risk reduction decision for non-HPV existing chemicals?
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/npptac/pubs/finaldraftnonhpvpaper051006.pdf> (accessed January 2008) National Pollution Prevention and Toxics Advisory Committee (October 2005).
 17. National Academy of Sciences. Commission on Life Sciences. Toxicology Testing: Strategies to Determine Needs and Priorities. Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences Press (1984).
 18. United States General Accounting Office. Toxic Substances Control Act: Legislative Changes Could Make the Act More Effective (GAO/RCED-94-103)
<http://archive.gao.gov/t2pbat2/152799.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (1994).
 19. Congress of the United States, Office of Technology Assessment. Screening and Testing of Chemicals in Commerce: Background Paper. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (1995).
 20. Roe D, Pease W, Florini K, Silbergeld E. Toxic Ignorance: The Continuing Absence of Basic Health Testing for Top-Selling Chemicals in the United States.
<http://www.environmentaldefense.org/pdf.cfm?ContentID=243&FileName=toxicignorance.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: Environmental Defense (1997).
 21. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Chemical Hazard Data Availability Study: What Do We Really Know About the Safety of High Production Volume Chemicals?
<http://www.epa.gov/hpv/pubs/general/hazchem.pdf> (accessed January 2008). Washington, D.C.: Environmental Protection Agency (1998) (Refer to table 6).
 22. Goldman L. Preventing pollution? U.S. toxic chemicals and pesticides policies and sustainable development. *Environmental Law Review* 2002; 32:11018-11041.
 23. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Overview: Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Programs. Overview, Draft Version 2.0. Washington, D.C. (2003).
 24. United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Options Exist to Improve EPA's Ability to Assess Health Risks and Manage its Chemicals Review Program (GAO 05-458) <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d05458.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (June 2005).

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

25. United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Comparison of U.S. and Recently Enacted European Union Approaches to Protect against the Risks of Toxic Chemicals (GAO-07-825) <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07825.pdf> accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (August 2007).
26. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Overview: Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Programs. Overview, Draft Version 2.0. Washington, D.C. (2003).
27. Lempert R, Norling P, Pernin C, Resetar S, Mahnovski S. RAND Corporation. Next Generation Environmental Technologies: Benefits and Barriers. http://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1682/ (accessed January 2008) Arlington, VA: RAND Corporation (2003) 41.

References

CHAPTER 4. Environment

1. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Cleaning up the Nation's Waste Sites: Markets and Technology Trends. <http://www.clu-in.org/download/market/2004market.pdf> (accessed May 18, 2005) United States Environmental Protection Agency (2004) vii – x.

United States Government Accountability Office. Hazardous Waste Programs: Information on Appropriations and Expenditures for Superfund, Brownfields, and Related Programs (GAO-05-746R) <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d05746r.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (June 2005).

2. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Superfund Program. New Report Projects. Number, Cost and Nature of Contaminated Site Cleanups in the U.S. over the Next 30 Years. (July 2007).
3. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control. Failed Land Disposal Units: A Twenty Year Retrospective. (October 2007).
4. United States Environmental Protection Agency. WasteWise update, solid waste and emergency response (EPA 530-N-00-007) Washington, D.C.: United States Environmental Protection Agency (2000).
5. California Integrated Waste Management Board. Statewide Waste Characterization Study (#340-04-005) <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/default.asp?pubid=1097> (accessed January 2008) California Integrated Waste Management Board (December 2004).

Executive Summary at:

<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/LocalAsst/Extracts/34004005/ExecSummary.pdf> (accessed January 2008) 6. (481,353 tons=963 million pounds. Range of 300 million to 1.6 billion pounds with confidence interval calculated at 90% level).

6. Moore C, Moore S, Leecaster M, Weisberg S. A Comparison of Plastic and Plankton in the North Pacific Central Gyre. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 2001; 42:1297-1300.

Chris Parry, Public Education Manager, California Coastal Commission, San Francisco. Personal communication, October 20, 2007.

7. Derraik, José. The Pollution of the Marine Environment by Plastic Debris: A Review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 2002; 44:842-852.
8. Gordon M. California Coastal Commission. Eliminating Land-based Discharges of Marine Debris in California: A Plan of Action from the Plastic Debris Project. http://www.plasticdebris.org/CA_Action_Plan_2006.pdf (accessed January 2008) California Coastal Commission (June 2006) 15.

References

- Moore S, Gregorio D, Carreon M, Weisberg S, Leecaster M. Composition and Distribution of Beach Debris in Orange County, California. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 2001; 42:241-245.
9. Schoenung J, Ogunseitán O, Saphores JD, Shapiro A. Adopting Lead-free Electronics: Policy Differences and Knowledge Gaps. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 2005; 8:59-85.
- Lincoln J, Ogunseitán O, Shapiro A, Saphores JD. Leaching Assessments of Hazardous Materials in Cellular Telephones. *Environmental Science and Technology* 2007; 41: 2572-2578.
10. Andrew Hurst, Supervisor, Electronic Waste Management and Recycling Division, California Integrated Waste Management Board. Personal communication, December 14, 2007.
11. *Ibid.*
12. Wong M, Wu S, Deng W, Yu X, Luo Q *et al.* Exposure of Toxic Chemicals – Review of the Case of Uncontrolled Electronic-Waste Recycling. *Environmental Pollution* 2007; 149:131-140.
13. *Ibid.*
14. RoHS Compliance. The RoHS regulation, Directive 2002/95/EC: Major regulation's provisions. <http://www.rohs.eu/english/index.html> (accessed January 2008) RoHS (2007).
- Environment Directorate General of the European Commission. On the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment (2002/95/EC) <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32002L0095:EN:HTML> (accessed January 2008) European Commission (January 2003).
15. Schumacher J, Kaelin T, Sakuma Y. SRI Consulting. Plastics Recycling: Abstract. <http://www.sriconsulting.com/CEH/Public/Reports/300.3000> (accessed January 2008) SRI Consulting (April 2002) (This report uses a 3.6% recycling rate, with a slight decrease by 2005).
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 2005 Facts and Figures (publication EPA 530-R-06-011) <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/non-hw/muncpl/msw99.htm> (accessed January 2008) United States Environmental Protection Agency (October 2006) 51-52. (This report uses a 5.7% recycling rate for 2005).
- Cynthia Dunn, Sustainability Program, California Integrated Waste Management Board. Personal communication, December 2, 2007. cdunn@ciwmb.ca.gov (California Integrated Waste Management Board uses a 3.0% recycling rate).

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

16. California Integrated Waste Management Board. Statewide Waste Characterization Study (publication #340-04-005)
<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/default.asp?pubid=1097> (accessed January 2008)
California Integrated Waste Management Board (December 2004).

Executive Summary at:

<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/LocalAsst/Extracts/34004005/ExecSummary.pdf>
(accessed January 2008) 6. (481,353 tons=963 million pounds. Range of 300 million to 1.6 billion pounds with confidence interval calculated at 90% level).

17. See reference 15, above.

18. Cynthia Dunn, Sustainability Program, California Integrated Waste Management Program. Personal communication, December 2, 2007. cdunn@ciwmb.ca.gov.

19. United States Environmental Protection Agency, TRI Explorer. 2005 State Fact Sheet: California. http://www.epa.gov/cgi-bin/broker?view=STCO&trilib=TRIQ1&state=06&SFS=YES&year=2005&_service=oiaa&_program=xp_tri.sasmacr.tristart.macro (accessed January 2008) United States Environmental Protection Agency (2005).

Scorecard. Environmental Scorecard for California. http://www.scorecard.org/env-releases/state.tcl?fips_state_code=06#data_summary (accessed January 2008).

20. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), TRI Chemicals. <http://www.epa.gov/tri/chemical/index.htm> (accessed January 2008) United States Environmental Protection Agency (2008).

21. U.S. General Accounting Office. Report to the Congress. Toxic Chemicals: EPA's Toxic Release Inventory is Useful but can be Improved. (GAO/RCED-91-121)
<http://archive.gao.gov/d20t9/144255.pdf> (accessed January 2008) U.S. General Accounting Office (June 1991) 26.

22. United States Environmental Protection Agency, TRI Explorer. 2005 State Fact Sheet: California. http://www.epa.gov/cgi-bin/broker?view=STCO&trilib=TRIQ1&state=06&SFS=YES&year=2005&_service=oiaa&_program=xp_tri.sasmacr.tristart.macro (accessed January 2008) United States Environmental Protection Agency (2005).

Scorecard. Environmental Scorecard for California. http://www.scorecard.org/env-releases/state.tcl?fips_state_code=06#data_summary (accessed January 2008).

23. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Pesticide Use Reporting – 2006 Summary Data.
http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/pur/pur06rep/lbsby_co.pdf (accessed January 2008)
California Environmental Protection Agency (2007).

California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Pesticide Use Reporting – Pounds of Active Ingredient by County.

References

- http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/pur/pur06rep/06_pur.htm (accessed January 2008)
California Environmental Protection Agency (2007) (Describes total weight of active ingredients applied in 2006 in California).
- Cox C, Surgan M. Unidentified Inert Ingredients in Pesticides: Implications for Human and Environmental Health. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2006; 114:1803-1806.
24. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Environmental Monitoring Branch. <http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/emon/ehap.htm> (accessed January 2008) California Environmental Protection Agency (2007) (Describes pesticide releases to surface water, ground water, marine ecosystems, and air).
25. Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Environmental Protection Agency. Failed Land Disposal Units: A Twenty-year Retrospective. (October 2007) (Chart 1, showing 61 of 85 sites leaking into groundwater).

CHAPTER 5. Chemicals in People

1. Birnbaum L, Staskal D. Brominated flame retardants: cause for concern? *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2004; 112:9–17.

Hites R. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the environment and in people: A meta-analysis of concentrations. *Environmental Science and Technology* 2004; 38:945-956.

Melnick R. Summary of the national toxicology program's report of the endocrine disruptors low-dose peer review. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2002; 110:427-31.

Norén K, Meironyté D. Certain organochlorine and organobromine contaminants in swedish human milk in perspective of past 20-30 years. *Chemosphere* 2000; 40:1111.

Welshons W, Nagel S, vom Saal F. Large effects from small exposures. III. Endocrine mechanisms mediating effects of bisphenol A at levels of human exposure. *Endocrinology* 2006; 147:S56-S69.

2. Peter Spitz, *The Chemical Industry at the Millennium* (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Chemical Heritage Press, 2003).

Ashish Arora, Ralph Landau and Nathan Rosenberg, *Chemicals and Long-Term Economic Growth: Insights from the Chemical Industry* (New York, New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1998).

Fred Aftalion, *A History of the International Chemical Industry* (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Chemical Heritage Press, 2001).

Kenneth Geiser, *Materials Matter* (Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press, 2001) 19-88.

3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Third National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. <http://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/report.htm> (accessed January 2008) Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2005).
4. Joe Thornton, *Pandora's Poison: Chlorine, Health and a New Environmental Strategy* (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 2000) 27.
5. Houlihan J, Kropp T, Wiles R, Gray S, Campbell C. Environmental Working Group. *Body Burden: The Pollution in Newborns*. http://archive.ewg.org/reports_content/bodyburden2/pdf/bodyburden2_final-r2.pdf (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: Environmental Working Group (July 2005).

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Third National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. <http://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/report.htm> (accessed January 2008) Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2005).

Guvenius D, Aronsson A, Ekman-Ordeberg G, Bergman A, Norén K. Human prenatal and postnatal exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers, polychlorinated biphenyls,

References

- polychlorobiphenyls, and pentachlorophenol. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2003; 111:1235.
6. LaKind J, Amina Wilkins A, Berlin C Jr. Environmental chemicals in human milk: A review of levels, infant exposures and health, and guidance for future research. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 2004; 198:184-208.
 7. Birnbaum L, Staskal D. Brominated flame retardants: cause for concern? *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2004; 112:9-17.
- de Wit C. An overview of brominated flame retardants in the environment. *Chemosphere* 2002; 46:583-624.
- Hites R. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the environment and in people: A meta-analysis of concentrations. *Environmental Science and Technology* 2004; 38:945-956.
8. McDonald T. Polybrominated diphenylether levels among united states residents: Daily intake and risk of harm to the developing brain and reproductive organs. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* 2005; 1:343.
 9. Darnerud P, Eriksen G, Johannesson T, Larsen P, Viluksela M. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers: Occurrence, dietary exposure, and toxicology. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001; 109:49-68.
- McDonald T. Polybrominated diphenylether levels among united states residents: Daily intake and risk of harm to the developing brain and reproductive organs. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* 2005; 1:343.
- Porterfield S. Thyroidal dysfunction and environmental chemicals—potential impact on brain development. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001; 108:433-438.
- Viberg H, Johansson N, Fredriksson A, Eriksson J, Marsh G *et al.* Neonatal exposure to higher brominated diphenyl ethers, hepta-, octa-, or nonabromodiphenyl ether, impairs spontaneous behavior and learning and memory functions of adult mice. *Toxicological Sciences* 2006; 92:211.
- Zhou T. Developmental exposure to brominated diphenyl ethers results in thyroid hormone disruption. *Toxicological Sciences* 2002; 66:105-116.
10. Lundén A. Polychlorinated naphthalenes and other organochlorine contaminants in swedish human milk, 1972-1992. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 1998; 34:414-423.
 11. Schechter A, Pavuk M, Pöpke O, Jake Ryan J, Birnbaum L *et al.* Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in U.S. mothers' milk. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2003; 111:1723-1729.

References

12. Kuklennyik Z, Reich J, Tully J, Needham LL, Calafat AM. Automated solid-phase extraction and measurement of perfluorinated organic acids and amides in human serum and milk. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2004; 38:3698-3704.
13. Calafat A, Ye X, Wong L, Reidy J, Needham L. Exposure of the U.S. Population to Bisphenol A and 4-tertiary-Octylphenol: 2003-2004. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2008; 116:39-44.

Vandenberg L, Maffini M, Wadia P, Sonnenschein C, Rubin B. Exposure to environmentally relevant doses of the xenoestrogen bisphenol-A alters development of the fetal mouse mammary gland. *Endocrinology* 2007; 148:116.
14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Third National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. <http://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/report.htm> (accessed January 2008) Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2005).

Houlihan J, Kropp T, Wiles R, Gray S, Campbell C. Environmental Working Group. Body Burden: The Pollution in Newborns. http://archive.ewg.org/reports_content/bodyburden2/pdf/bodyburden2_final-r2.pdf (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: Environmental Working Group (July 2005).

LaKind J, Amina Wilkins A, Berlin C. Environmental chemicals in human milk: A review of levels, infant exposures and health, and guidance for future research. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*. 2004; 198:184-208.
15. American Public Health Association. Recognizing and Addressing the Environmental and Occupational Health Problems Posed by Chlorinated Organic Chemicals. *American Journal of Public Health* 1994; 84:514-515.
16. Department of Health and Human Services, National Toxicology Program. Report of the endocrine disruptors low-dose peer review. <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/liason/LowDosePeerFinalRpt.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Research Triangle Park, NC: Department of Health and Human Services (2001).
17. Bellinger D, Bellinger A. Childhood lead poisoning: the torturous path from science to policy. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 2006; 116:853-857.
18. LaKind J, Amina Wilkins A, Berlin C. Environmental chemicals in human milk: A review of levels, infant exposures and health, and guidance for future research. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*. 2004; 198:184-208.

Landrigan P, Sonawane B, Mattison D, McCally M, Garg A. Chemical Contaminants in Breast Milk and Their Impacts on Childrens' Health: An Overview. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2002; 110:A313-A315.

Sonawane B. Chemical Contaminants in Human Milk: An Overview. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 1995; 103:197-205.

References

19. Eskenazi B, Marks A, Bradman A, Fenster L, Johnson C *et al.* In utero Exposure to Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) and Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE) and Neurodevelopment Among Young Mexican American Children. *Pediatrics* 2006; 118:233-241.

Natural Resources Defense Council. Healthy Milk Healthy Baby: Chemical Pollution and Mother's Milk. <http://www.nrdc.org/breastmilk/default.asp> (accessed January 2008).

CHAPTER 6. Children and Workers

1. Grandjean P, Bellinger D, Bergman A, Cordier S, Davey-Smith G *et al.* The Faroes Statement: Human Health Effects of Developmental Exposure to Chemicals in Our Environment. *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology*, July 2007. <http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1742-7843.2007.00114.x> (accessed January 2008).
2. Schettler T. Toxic threats to neurologic development of children. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001; 109:813-6.

Grandjean P, Landrigan P. Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial chemicals. *The Lancet* 2006; 368:2167-2178.
3. Joseph LaDou, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2nd Edition. (Stamford, Connecticut: Appleton and Lange, 1997).
4. California Environmental Protection Agency. Intra-Agency Environmental Justice Strategy. <http://www.calepa.ca.gov/EnvJustice/Documents/2004/Strategy/Final.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Sacramento, CA: California EPA (August 2004) 9.
5. Grandjean, P. Late Insights into Early Origins of Disease. Presentation to Faroe Islands conference. May 20, 2007. http://www.pptox.dk/portals/0/3_slide.pdf (accessed January 2008).

Greater Boston Physicians for Social Responsibility. In Harm's Way: Toxic Threats to Child Development. <http://psr.igc.org/ihw-download-report.htm> (accessed January 2008) Cambridge, MA (2002).
6. United States Environmental Protection Agency. 40 CFR Part 50. National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter. Final Rule. Federal Register / Vol. 62, No. 138, 38652 / Friday, July 18, 1997 / Rules and Regulations.
7. *Cardiovascular disease*
Künzli N, Jerrett M, Mack W, Beckerman B, LaBree L *et al.* Ambient air pollution and atherosclerosis in Los Angeles. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2005; 113:201-206.

Brook R. Is air pollution a cause of cardiovascular disease? Updated review and controversies. *Reviews on Environmental Health* 2007; 22:115-137.

Lung cancer
Garshick E, Laden F, Hart J, Rosner B, Smith T *et al.* Lung cancer in railroad workers exposed to diesel exhaust. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2004; 112:1539-1543.

Pope C III, Burnett R, Thun M, Calle E, Krewski D *et al.* Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2002; 287:1132-1141.

References

- Pre-term birth and low birth weight*
Wilhelm M, Ritz B. Residential proximity to traffic and adverse birth outcomes in Los Angeles county, California, 1994-1996. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2003; 111:207-216.
- Asthma exacerbations*
McConnell R, Berhane K, Yao L, Jerrett M, Lurmann F *et al.* Traffic, susceptibility, and childhood asthma. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2006; 114:766-72.
- Brauer M, Hoek G, Smit H, de Jongste J, Gerritsen J *et al.* Air pollution and development of asthma, allergy and infections in a birth cohort. *European Respiratory Journal* 2007; 29:879-88.
8. European Environment Agency. Late Lessons from Early Warnings: The Precautionary Principle, 1896-2000. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities (2001).
9. Paulozzi L. International trends in rates of hypospadias and cryptorchidism. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 1999; 107:297-302.
- Sharpe R, Irvine D. How strong is the evidence of a link between environmental chemicals and adverse effects on human reproductive health? *British Medical Journal* 2004; 328:447-451.
- Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program (1973-2000), National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Cancer Statistics Branch. <http://seer.cancer.gov/> (accessed January 2008).
- Swan S, Elkin E, Fenster L. The question of declining sperm density revisited: an analysis of 101 studies published 1934-1996. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2000; 108:961-966.
10. Bay K, Askund C, Skakkebaek N, Andersson A. Testicular dysgenesis syndrome: possible role of endocrine disrupters. *Best Practice and Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2006; 20:77-90.
- Main K, Mortensen G, Kaleva M, Boisen K, Damgaard I *et al.* Human Breast Milk Contamination with Phthalates and Alterations in Endogenous Reproductive Hormones in Infants Three Months of Age. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2006; 114:270-276.
- Skakkebaek N. Endocrine Disrupters and Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome. *Hormone Research* 2002; 57:43.
- Swan S, Main K, Liu F, Stewart S, Kruse R *et al.* Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalate exposure. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2005; 113:1056-1061.

References

11. Roberts E. Maternal residence near agricultural pesticide applications and autism spectrum disorders among children in the California central valley. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2007; 115:1482-1489.

Schettler T. Toxic threats to neurologic development of children. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001; 109:813-816.

Eskenazi B. Organophosphate pesticide exposure and neurodevelopment in young mexican-american children. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2007; 115:792-8.
12. Grandjean P, Bellinger D, Bergman A, Cordier S, Davey-Smith G et al. The Faroes Statement: Human Health Effects of Developmental Exposure to Chemicals in Our Environment. *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology*, July 2007.
<http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1742-7843.2007.00114.x> (accessed January 2008).
13. Calafat A, Ye X, Wong L, Reidy J, Needham L. Exposure of the U.S. Population to Bisphenol A and 4-*tertiary*- Octylphenol: 2003-2004. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2008; 116:39-44.
14. National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council. Pesticides in the Diets of Infants and Children. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press (1993).
15. Andersen H. Toxicologic evidence of developmental neurotoxicity of environmental chemicals. *Toxicology* 2000; 144:121-7.
16. Rice D, Barone S. Critical periods of vulnerability for the developing nervous system: Evidence from humans and animal models. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2000; 108:511-33.
17. Sharpe R, Irvine D. How strong is the evidence of a link between environmental chemicals and adverse effects on human reproductive health? *British Medical Journal* 2004; 328:447-451.

National Cancer Institute, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, Surveillance Research Program, Cancer Statistics Branch. <http://seer.cancer.gov/> (accessed January 2008).

Swan S, Elkin E, Fenster L. The question of declining sperm density revisited: an analysis of 101 studies published 1934-1996. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2000; 108: 961-966.
18. World Health Organization. Global Assessment of the State-of-the-Science of Endocrine Disruptors.
http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/new_issues/endocrine_disruptors/en/index.html (accessed January 2008) World Health Organization (2002).
19. Department of Health and Human Services, National Toxicology Program. Report of the endocrine disruptors low-dose peer review.

References

- <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/liason/LowDosePeerFinalRpt.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Research Triangle Park, NC (2001).
- Birnbaum L, Fenton S. Cancer and developmental exposure to endocrine disruptors. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2003; 111:389-94.
- Eriksson P, Jakobsson E, Fredriksson A. Brominated flame retardants: A novel class of developmental neurotoxicants in our environment? *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001; 109:903.
20. Newbold R. Developmental exposure to endocrine disruptors and the obesity epidemic. *Reproductive Toxicology* 2007; 23:290-296.
21. Susiarjo M, Hassold T, Freeman E, Hunt PA. Bisphenol A exposure in utero disrupts early oogenesis in the mouse. *PLoS Genetics* 2007; 3:63-70.
- Grandjean P, Bellinger D, Bergman A, Cordier S, Davey-Smith G et al. The Faroes Statement: Human Health Effects of Developmental Exposure to Chemicals in Our Environment. *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology*, July 2007.
<http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1742-7843.2007.00114.x> (accessed January 2008).
22. National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council. Pesticides in the Diets of Infants and Children. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press (1993).
- Goldman L. Children: Unique and vulnerable. Environmental risks facing children and recommendations for response. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 1995; 103:13-18.
23. Joseph LaDou, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2nd Edition. (Stamford, Connecticut: Appleton and Lange, 1997).
24. Leigh P, Wilson M, Schwarzman M. Costs of Toxic Chemical-induced Occupational Diseases Among Adults in California. *In preparation*. (2008).
25. Bradman M, Harnly M, Draper W, Seidel S, Teran S et al. Pesticide exposures to children from California's Central Valley: results of a pilot study. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology* 1997; 7:217-234.
- Mobed K, Gold E, Schenker M. Occupational health problems among migrant and seasonal farm workers. *Western Journal of Medicine* 1992; 157:367-373.
- Osorio A, Beckman J, Geiser C, Husting E, Inai A et al. California Farm Survey of Occupational Injuries and Hazards. *Journal of Agricultural Safety and Health* 1998; 1:99-108.
- Simcox N, Fenske R, Wolz S, Lee I, Kalman D. Pesticides in household dust and soil: exposure pathways for children of agricultural families. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 1995; 103:1126-1134.

References

- Whitmore R, Immerman F, Camann D, Bond A, Lewis R *et al.* Non-occupational exposures to pesticides for residents of two U.S. cities. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 1994; 26:47-59.
26. United States General Accounting Office. OSHA Action Needed to Improve Compliance with Hazard Communication Standard (GAO/HRD-92-8) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (1991).
- Sattler B, Lippy B, Jordan T. Hazard Communication: A Review of the Science Underpinning the Art of Communication for Health and Safety. <http://www-ilo-mirror.cornell.edu/public/english/protection/safework/ghs/ghsdocs/hc2inf2.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (1997).
- Oudiz J, California Division of Occupational Safety and Health, Personal Communication, May 7, 2004.
27. Harrison R, Israel L, Larabee P, Cone J, Baker C *et al.* n-Hexane-related peripheral neuropathy among automotive technicians--California, 1999-2000. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 2000; 50:1011-1013.
28. California Environmental Protection Agency, Air Resources Board. Staff Report: Initial Statement of Reasons for the Proposed Airborne Toxic Control Measure for Emissions of Chlorinated Toxic Air Contaminants from Automotive Maintenance and Repair Activities. Stationary Source Division, Emissions Assessment Branch (March 10, 2000)
29. Wilson M, Hammond SK, Nicas M, Hubbard A. Worker Exposure to Volatile Organic Compounds in the Vehicle Repair Industry. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 2007; 4:301-310.
30. California Environmental Protection Agency, Air Resources Board, *ibid.* page V-5 (citing Norton study that 77% of automotive repair facilities nationwide use aerosol solvent products: John Norton. *Usage of Chemical Brake Cleaners in Automotive Repair Facilities*. Fairfax, Virginia: School of Business, George Mason University, 1993) & page V-7 (showing estimate of 8,067,000 brake jobs performed per year in California, each requiring one can of aerosol solvent, or 14.4 ounces. ($0.77 \times 8,067,000 = 6,211,590$ cans).
31. California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. Occupational Health Hazard Risk Assessment Project for California: Identification of Chemicals of Concern, Possible Risk Assessment Methods, and Examples of Health Protective Occupational Air Concentrations. <http://www.dhs.ca.gov/OHB/HESIS/risksummary.pdf> (accessed January 2008) California Environmental Protection Agency (December 2007) 2.
32. *Ibid.*

CHAPTER 7. Economic Consequences

1. Correction: cost figure is \$2.6 billion in direct medical *and indirect* costs, or *one billion dollars* in direct medical costs alone.

Leigh P, Wilson M, Schwarzman M. Costs of Toxic Chemical-induced Occupational Diseases Among Adults in California. *In preparation*. 2008.

Leigh P, Wilson M, Schwarzman M. Costs of Environmentally Attributable Diseases Among Children in California. *In preparation*. 2008.

2. Fahs M, Markowitz S, Leigh J, Shin C, Landrigan P. A National estimate of the cost of occupationally related disease in 1992. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1997; 837:440-455.

Leigh J, Markowitz S, Fahs M, Shin C, Landrigan P. Occupational injury and illness in the United States. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1997; 157:1557-1567.

Leigh J, Markowitz S, Fahs M, Landrigan P, Costs of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses. (Michigan: University of Michigan Press, 2000).

Leigh J, Cone J, Harrison R. Costs of occupational injuries and illnesses in California. *Preventive Medicine* 2002; 32:393-406.

Leigh *et al.* 2008, *op cit.*

Steenland K, Burnett C, Lalich N, Ward E, Hurrell J. Dying for work: The magnitude of US mortality from selected causes of death associated with occupation. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 2003; 43: 461-482.

3. Landrigan P, Schechter C, Lipton J, Fahs M, Schwartz J. Environmental Pollutants and Disease in American Children: Estimates of Morbidity, Mortality, and Costs for Lead Poisoning, Asthma, Cancer, and Developmental Disabilities. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2002; 110:721-728.

Leigh *et al.* 2008a, *op cit.*

4. California Ocean Protection Council. Resolution of the California Ocean Protection Council On Reducing and Preventing Marine Debris. http://www.resources.ca.gov/copc/02-08-07_meeting/Adopted_Marine_Debris_Res_0207.pdf (accessed January 2008) California Ocean Protection Council (February 2007).
5. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control. Annual Cost for DTSC Major Programs (Site Mitigation; Hazardous Waste Management; Science, Pollution Prevention and Technology) Fiscal Year July 01, 2006 Through June 30, 2007 & for Fiscal Years 1996 – 2006.

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

6. Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Environmental Protection Agency. Failed Land Disposal Units: A Twenty Year Retrospective. (October 2007).
7. Chemical Strategies Partnership. Chemical Management Services Industry Report 2004: Creating Value Through Service.
http://www.chemicalstrategies.org/resources_industry.htm (accessed January 2008). San Francisco: Chemical Strategies Partnership (2004) 6.
8. California Integrated Waste Management Board. Statewide Waste Characterization Study (#340-04-005) <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/default.asp?pubid=1097> (accessed January 2008) (December 2004).

Executive Summary at:

<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/LocalAsst/Extracts/34004005/ExecSummary.pdf> (accessed January 2008) 6. (3,809,699 tons=7.6 billion pounds. Range of 6-9 billion pounds with confidence interval calculated at 90% level).

9. Schumacher J, Kaelin T, Sakuma Y. SRI Consulting. Plastics Recycling: Abstract.
<http://www.sriconsulting.com/CEH/Public/Reports/300.3000> (accessed January 2008)
SRI Consulting (April 2002) (This report uses a 3.6% recycling rate, with a slight decrease by 2005).

United States Environmental Protection Agency. Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 2005 Facts and Figures (publication EPA530-R-06-011)

<http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/non-hw/muncpl/msw99.htm> (accessed January 2008)

United States Environmental Protection Agency (October 2006) 51-52. (This report uses a 5.7% recycling rate for 2005).

10. California Integrated Waste Management Board. Statewide Waste Characterization Study (publication #340-04-005)
<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/default.asp?pubid=1097> (accessed January 2008)
California Integrated Waste Management Board (December 2004).

Executive Summary at:

<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Publications/LocalAsst/Extracts/34004005/ExecSummary.pdf> (accessed January 2008) 6. (481,353 tons=963 million pounds. Range of 300 million to 1.6 billion pounds with confidence interval calculated at 90% level).

11. Analysis follows model described in: Pimentel D, Acquay H, Biltonen M, Rice P, Silva M, *et al.* Environmental and economic costs of pesticide use. *BioScience* 1992; 42:750-760. (Data range represents analyses using California percent of national GDP and a detailed indicator-specific calculation. All costs converted to 2004 U.S. dollars).

References

CHAPTER 8. Solutions

1. European Social Investment Forum. Chemicals Sector Report, 2nd in a Series http://www.eurosif.org/publications/sector_reports/chemical (accessed January 2008). The Chemical Sector Steering Committee consists of ABN Amro Asset Management, Credit Agricole Asset Management, Dexia Asset Management, Henderson Global Investors, and UBS Global Asset Management. Paris: www.eurosif.org, October 2005.
2. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Preamble to the IARC Monographs. A. General Principles and Procedures. 5. Meeting participants. <http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Preamble/currenta5participants0706.php> (accessed January 2008) January 23, 2006.
3. California Department of Public Health, Biomonitoring Program: <http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ehlb/bpp/default.htm> (accessed January 2008).

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Environmental health tracking program: <http://www.cdc.gov/nceh/tracking/> and <http://www.catracking.com/sub/eht.htm> (accessed January 2008).

California Policy Research Center, University of California. Strategies for Establishing an Environmental Health Surveillance System in California: A Report of the SB 702 Expert Working Group. <http://www.catracking.com/resources/ewg/sb702report/EHSSrpt.pdf> (accessed January 2008).

Office of Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Protection Indicators for California: <http://www.oehha.org/multimedia/epic/2002epicreport.html> (accessed January 2008).

California Department of Public Health, Occupational Health Surveillance and Evaluation Program: <http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ohb/OHSEP/> (accessed January 2008).

California Department of Public Health: Department of Health Services California Cancer Registry: <http://www.ccrca.org/> (accessed January 2008).

California Birth Defects Monitoring Program: http://www.cbdmp.org/spd_registry.htm (accessed January 2008).
4. United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Comparison of U.S. and Recently Enacted European Union Approaches to Protect against the Risks of Toxic Chemicals <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07825.pdf> accessed January 2008). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (August 2007). 43-47.

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. 2005. Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labeling of Chemicals (GHS)

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

- http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev01/01files_e.html (accessed January 2008).
- Rossi M, Heine L. The Green Screen for Safer Chemicals: Evaluating Flame Retardants for TV Enclosures – Version 1.0 <http://www.cleanproduction.org/Green.GreenScreen.php> (accessed January 2008). Clean Production Action (March 2007).
- Wilson, Chia, Ehlers. *Green Chemistry in California: A Framework for Leadership in Chemicals Policy and Innovation*. Special Report to the California Senate Environmental Quality Committee and the Assembly Committee on Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials. California Policy Research Center, University of California Office of the President. <http://coeh.berkeley.edu/greenchemistry/> and http://coeh.berkeley.edu/docs/news/06_wilson_policy.pdf (accessed January 2008) 55-59.
5. United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Comparison of U.S. and Recently Enacted European Union Approaches to Protect against the Risks of Toxic Chemicals (GAO-07-825) <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07825.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (August 2007).
- United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Approaches in the United States, Canada, and the European Union (GAO-06-217R) <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d06217r.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office (November 2005).
- Denison R. Not that Innocent: A Comparative Analysis of Canadian, European Union and United States Policies on Industrial Chemicals <http://www.environmentaldefense.org/article.cfm?contentid=6147> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C. Environmental Defense (April 2007).
6. California Green Innovation Index: 2008 Inaugural Issue. <http://www.coecon.com/Reports/GREEN/CAGreenIndex.pdf> (accessed January 2008). Next 10. Palo Alto, California. p. 46. Showing California adding 100 establishments in energy generation and energy efficiency since 2003, and that since 1990, the number of establishments in these sectors has grown by 84%, adding more than 10,000 jobs.
- Roland-Holst D. *Economic Growth and Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California*, University of California Berkeley, Climate Center <http://calclimate.berkeley.edu/> August 2006 http://calclimate.berkeley.edu/Growth_Strategies_Full_Report.pdf (accessed January 2008).
7. Burtis P, Epstein B, Hwang R. *Creating the California Cleantech Cluster: How Innovation and Investment Can Promote Job Growth and a Health Environment*. <http://www.nrdc.org/air/energy/cleantech/contents.asp> and <http://www.nrdc.org/air/energy/cleantech/cleantech.pdf> (accessed January 2008) Environmental Entrepreneurs, Natural Resources Defense Council (September 2004) 14.
- Roland-Holst D. *Economic Growth and Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California*, University of California Berkeley, Climate Center <http://calclimate.berkeley.edu/> August

Green Chemistry: Cornerstone to a Sustainable California

References

- 2006 http://calclimate.berkeley.edu/Growth_Strategies_Full_Report.pdf (accessed January 2008) 3 and 26.
8. California Integrated Waste Management Board, California EPA. Strategic Directives: SD-5. Producer Responsibility. <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/BoardInfo/StrategicPlan/2007/SD05.htm> (accessed January 2008).
 9. National Resource Council. Toxicity Testing in the Twenty-first Century: A Vision and a Strategy. <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11970.html> (accessed January 2008) Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences.

* * * * *